

REMARKS

Presently, claims 6-26 are pending, with claims 6, 11, 16, and 21 being independent claims. In this communication, applicants have amended claim 11. We thank the Examiner for his indication that claims 16-26 are in condition for allowance.

The Examiner rejected claims 6-10 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of US Patent No. 6,575,558 in view of US Patent No. 6,637,860. US Patent No. 6,575,558 is commonly owned with this application. Applicants are submitting a terminal disclaimer with this response and request that the obviousness-type double patenting rejection be withdrawn.

The Examiner also rejected claims 11-15 under 35 USC §101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-5 of US Patent No. 6,575,558.¹ Applicants have amended claim 11 to recite the limitation of "piezoelectric" in the body of the claim instead of the preamble. Accordingly, applicants submit that claims 11-15, as amended, are not drawn to identical subject matter as claims 1-5 in US Patent No. 6,575,558 and request that the rejection under 35 USC §101 be withdrawn.

Applicants submit that all claims are in condition for allowance, which action is requested.

Enclosed is a \$120 check for the Petition for Extension of Time fee. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.


¹ US Patent No. "6,575,550" is cited on page 3 of the office action. Applicants presume that this is a typographical error and ask the Examiner to confirm that the present rejection is in view of US Patent No. 6,575,558, not US Patent No. 6,575,550, in his next communication.

Applicant : David N. Grose et al.
Serial No. : 10/039,074
Filed : December 31, 2001
Page : 8 of 8

Attorney's Docket No.: 09991-003002

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 2/2/2005


Chris C. Bowley
Reg. No. 55,016

Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110-2804
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

20958056.doc